PADERBORN UNIVERSITY Caregivers' contributions to children's understanding of abstract words during joint book reading

Katharina J. Rohlfing¹, Franziska Viertel¹, Theresa Kohlmeyer², Oliver Reis² ¹Psycholinguistics, ²Catholic Religious Education/focus on inclusion

In our pilot study, we ask:

- What are the caregivers' strategies to support children's understanding of abstract words?
- How do the strategies relate to the discourse about the meaning of abstract words?

Introduction

Abstract words occur late in the development and are reported to be difficult to learn, because (a) referents are not directly perceivable and thus (b) more complex way of "contextualization" is needed for the meaning to become clear (Vigliocco et al. 2018). Emotional associations seems to have a greater role in the acquisition of abstract than concrete words (Kousta et al., 2011). Little is known about how parents contextualize abstract words for the children to understand.

Results

Overall, we could identify the following **strategies** that caregiver use to convey the meaning of the word "mercy" (German: *Barmherzigkeit*) to their children (see Table 1 for more details).

strategy	type	example
perspectivation	mental states (cognitive) (volitional) (dispositional)	Jona thinks, he won't be seen he hides, he tries it somehow God is angry with people
	direct speech	he thoughts, it is far away, God won't find me
	comparisons	he does like me in a hiding game
	questions	but why shouldn't he stay on the boat?
	generalizations	one shouldn't insist on something but maybe could say ok
	judgments	it was exhausting, he had to go on foot, he did not have a car…
emotional valence	exaggerations	
	(comparatives, superlatives)	because they argue all the time
	lexical choice	being inflamed means that he is angry
	interjections	wow
	inference	then he went for this long exhausting trip

Table 1: Different means of perspectivization and emotional valence in speech.

Our studied dyads **varied** in the way how they structured the joint book reading and use of these strategies. Perspectivation that affords personal involvement are emotionally effective. Vice versa, in a case in which the child cannot follow the perspective, emotional words will not help (Engelbrecht, 2018; see example 2).

We found that children demonstrated some form of a meaning construction in situations, in which the caregiver was able to select some relevant semantic aspects and provide them with elements of perspectivation while simultaneously making use of emotional valence. In this sense, direct speech or questions (see example 2) seems to be more effective than generalization that, in turn, is more effective than a judgment.

Following Hoffman (2016), we can confirm that the extent of personal involvement seems fundamental for the understanding of an abstract word.

Method

We studied 9 children at the age of 7 years from different SES background and with different experience in religious discourse. In our pilot we focus on the joint book reading: 6 caregivers and their children visited our lab and were asked to read a **biblical story**.

Semantic aspects of the word *mercy* [Barmherzigkeit] were identified (Engelbrecht, 2018): Misconduct, God's anger, negative consequences, regrets, positive turn, God's mercy resulting in situational change.



Figure 1: The setting during the joint book reading: parents were reading a biblical story to their children.

Joint construction of meaning (examples):

Example 1:		
caregiver	they did not want him to die, so they wanted to rescue him [perspectivation; mental states]	
child	[nods]	
caregiver	well, the same is for mercy If I give something and don't will bad [perspectivation; comparison; generalization]	
child	[nods weakly]	
Example 2:		
caregiver	and then why didn't God destroy the city why didn't God destroy Nineveh? [perspectivation; questions]	
child	hm	
caregiver	hm. What did people do after they heard that God wanted to destroy Ninive? [perspectivation; questions]	
child	hm	
caregiver	did they find that great (-) or were they sorry for acting bad [perspectivation; questions]	
child	they were sorry.	

References:

Borghi, A. M., Binkofski, F., Castelfranchi, C., Cimatti, F., Scorolli, C., & Tummolini, L. (2017). The challenge of abstract concepts. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(3), 263. Engelbrecht M. (2018). Anwandung, sprachlicher Mittel und Strategian zur Genericus.

Engelbrecht, M. (2018). Anwendung sprachlicher Mittel und Strategien zur Generierung eines Verständnisses für den abstrakten Begriff Barmherzigkeit. Bachelor Thesis. Paderborn University.

Hoffman, P. (2016). The meaning of life and other abstract words: Insights from neuropsychology. *Journal of Neuropsychology*, *10*, 317–343.

Kousta, S.-T., Vigliocco, G., Vinson, D. P., Andrews, M., & Del Campo, E. (2011). The representation of abstract words: Why emotion matters. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *140*, 14–34.

Vigliocco, G, Ponari, M., & Norbury, C. (2018). Learning and processing abstract words and concepts: Insights from typical and atypical development. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 10, 533–549.